



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,985	12/31/2003	Darren A. Shakib	MS306414.01/MSFTP512US	9963
27195	7590	12/11/2007	EXAMINER	
AMIN. TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP			RAYYAN, SUSAN F	
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1900 EAST NINTH STREET			2167	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/11/2007		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@the patent attorneys.com
hholmes@the patent attorneys.com
osteuball@the patent attorneys.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,985	SHAKIB ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan F. Rayyan	2167

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Susan F. Rayyan. (3) _____.

(2) Larry Katsoff, Reg. No. 54,209. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 December 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kim et al (US 2002/0129014, Kim et al (US 2003/0208482).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed Office Action dated September 19, 2007. Applicant indicated Kim 482 is a direct divisional of Kim 014. Examiner will send out another Non-Final Office Action. Discussed Kim 014 with regard to claim 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Susan F. Rayyan
December 4, 2007

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required